1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	RICHARD KATZMAN,) No.: 13-CV-00438 LHK
12	Plaintiff,) PROPOSED VERDICT FORM
13	v.)
14	LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY,)
15	a special district,)
16	Defendant.	
17		_)
18		
19	The parties shall file any objections to the	e proposed verdict form by March 6, 2015.
20		
21		Jucy H. Koh
22	Dated: February 27, 2015	LUCY H. KOHO
23		United States District Judge
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1

Case No.: 13-CV-00438 LHK PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	When answering the following questions and filling out this verdict form, please follow to directions provided throughout the form. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you	
2		
3	are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.	
4 5	We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return the answers under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.	
	(1) Has the plaintiff Diehard Vetzman, proved by a prependerance of the evidence that	
6 7	(1) Has the plaintiff, Richard Katzman, proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, deprived Mr. Katzman of a property right without due process of law?	
8		
9	YES NO	
10	If you answered YES to Question No. 1, proceed to Question No. 2. If you answered NO to Question No. 1, proceed to Question No. 3.	
11		
12	(2) Has Mr. Katzman proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's deprivation of a property right without due	
13	process of law caused damage to Mr. Katzman?	
14	YES NO	
15	Proceed to Question No. 3.	
16	1 roceed to Question No. 3.	
17	(3) Has Mr. Katzman proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority intentionally treated Mr. Katzman differently than	
18	others similarly situated to Mr. Katzman, without rational basis?	
19	YES NO	
20		
21	If you answered YES to Question No. 3, proceed to Question No. 4. If you answered NO to Question No. 3, proceed to Question No. 5.	
22	(4) Has Mr. Katzman proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Los Angeles	
23	County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's different treatment of Mr. Katzman compared to	
24	those similarly situated caused damage to Mr. Katzman?	
25	YES NO	
26		
27	Proceed to Question No. 5.	
28		

2

Case No.: 13-CV-00438 LHK PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	(5) What are the damages that will reasonably and fairly compensate Mr. Katzman for his injury caused by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority?
2	
3	\$
4	
5	Have the presiding juror sign and date the verdict form and notify the bailiff.
6	
7	
8	Signed: Date: PRESIDING JUROR
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Case No.: 13-CV-00438 LHK PROPOSED VERDICT FORM